# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 3

**DOCKET NO.:** 2008-0433-AIR-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102337730 **CASE NO.:** 35554

RESPONDENT NAME: Permian Tank & Manufacturing, Inc.

ORDER TYPE:		
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
<u>X</u> AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
TYPE OF OPERATION: Storage tank mass SMALL BUSINESS: _X_Yes	_ No  are are no complaints. There is no record of additional and the ED and the Respondent has expressed an integister comment period expired on September 1, 2008  or: None  r: Mr. J. Craig Fleming, Enforcement Division, Enfo	rest in this matter.  3. No comments were received.  arcement Team 3, MC 149, (512) 239-5806;

CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

ON ENVIRONMENTAL ON ENVIRONMENTAL

# RESPONDENT NAME: Permian Tank & Manufacturing, Inc. DOCKET NO.: 2008-0433-AIR-E

#### VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: VIOLATION INFORMATION PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED **Corrective Actions Taken:** Total Assessed: \$42,500 Type of Investigation: Complaint The Executive Director recognizes that the X Routine Total Deferred: \$8,500 \_\_\_ Enforcement Follow-up Respondent started observing and X Expedited Settlement recording the quarterly stack observations Records Review on January 22, 2008. Financial Inability to Pay Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this **Ordering Provisions:** SEP Conditional Offset: \$0 Case: None The Order will require the Respondent to: Date of Investigation Relating to this Total Paid to General Revenue: \$34,000 Case: February 7, 2008 a. Within 30 days after the effective date of Site Compliance History Classification this Agreed Order: Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: X High Average Poor March 7, 2008 (NOE) i. Implement improved operating measures Person Compliance History Classification to prevent exceedances of the maximum Background Facts: This was a routine \_\_\_ High X Average \_\_\_ Poor allowable rate for the amount of sand used investigation. in the abrasive blast cleaning: Major Source: X Yes \_\_\_ No AIR ii. Implement measures to prevent the Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 exceedance of the permit limits for VOCs 1) Failure to maintain stack observation and xylene; and records. Specifically, there were no quarterly stack observation records being iii. Submit the required semi-annual DRs maintained [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § for sand, VOCs, and xylene for the time 116.115(c), Tex. Health & Safety Code frames of April 27, 2006 to October 26, § 382.085(b), and New Source Review 2006, and April 27, 2007 to October 26, Permit No. 76038, Special Condition 2]. 2007. 2) Failure to prevent exceedances of b. Within 45 days after the effective date maximum allowed usage rates of 150 tons of this Agreed Order, submit written per year, 15 tons per month, and one ton certification and include detailed per day for abrasive blast cleaning supporting documentation including operations. Specifically, during the photographs, receipts, and/or other records periods January 1, 2006 through December to demonstrate compliance with Ordering 31, 2006, and January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007, the usage rates were Provision a. 225.55 and 184.65 tons of sand, respectively. In addition, the tons per day rate was exceeded 10 times and the tons per month rate was exceeded 11 times during 2006. In 2007, the tons per day rate was exceeded 10 times and the tons per month rate was exceeded 12 times [30] TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 106.452(2)(A), 30 TEX.ADMIN.CODE § 116.115(c), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)]. 3) Failure to prevent exceedances of the permit limit. Specifically, in 2005 the emissions were 37.47 tons per year ("tpy") of volatile organic compounds ("VOCs") and 7.75 tpv of xvlene. In 2006, the

emissions were 36.10 tpy of VOCs and 7.20 tpy of xylene. In 2007, the emissions

# RESPONDENT NAME: Permian Tank & Manufacturing, Inc. DOCKET NO.: 2008-0433-AIR-E

Page 3 of 3

4) Failure to submit deviation reports ("DRs") for time frames in which deviations are known to have occurred. Specifically, semi-annual DRs for sand, VOCs, and xylene deviations were not submitted for the time frames of April 27, 2006 to October 26, 2006, and April 27, 2007 to October 26, 2007 [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 122.145(2)(B), and Tex. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].	were 33.06 tpy of VOCs and 6.08 tpy of xylene [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 116.115(b)(1), Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), and Permit By Rule No. X-16285].
	("DRs") for time frames in which deviations are known to have occurred. Specifically, semi-annual DRs for sand, VOCs, and xylene deviations were not submitted for the time frames of April 27, 2006 to October 26, 2006, and April 27, 2007 to October 26, 2007 [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 122.145(2)(B), and Tex. HEALTH

Additional ID No(s).: Air Permit No. 76038

Deline Revision 2 (Son	Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCV	· '
Policy Revision 2 (Sep	ernber 2002)	PCW Revision February 29, 2008
	10-Mar-2008   19-Mar-2008   Screening   17-Mar-2008   EPA Due	
RESPONDENT/FACILITY	INFORMATION Permian Tank & Manufacturing, Inc.	
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.		
Facility/Site Region		or
CASE INFORMATION		
Enf./Case ID No.	No. of Violations 4	
	2008-0433-AIR-E Order Type 166	0
Media Program(s)		
Multi-Media		orcement Team 3
Admin. Penalty \$ I	imit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$10,000	s i i menghi pelang pengguhang kembangan dan dalah penggunan meladip penggunah dalah di sentra sebis dan 1900
	Penalty Calculation Section	
TOTAL BASE PENAL	TY (Sum of violation base penalties)	ubtotal 1 \$42,500
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTAL 1 ned by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.	
Compliance Histo		2. 3. & 7
30,,,p.,	o / Elimanounian	-, -, -, -
Notes	No change due to average performer classification.	
Culpability	No .0% Enhancement	ubtotal 4 \$0
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.	
1		
Good Faith Effort	to Comply  Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer  NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	ubtotal 5 \$0
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X (mark with x)	9
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria.	
Approx. 0	Total EB Amounts \$350 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount  Sost of Compliance \$2,300	ubtotal 6 \$0
CUM OF CURTOTAL	NA 7	¢43.500
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	5 1-7	Subtotal \$42,500
	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0% Adjubtotal by the indicated percentage.	justment \$0
Notes		
	Final Penalty	Amount \$42,500
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT Final Assessed	f Penalty \$42,500
DEFERRAL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	justment -\$8,500
	alty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	Lance 1
Notes	Deferral offered for expedited settlement.	
PAYABLE PENALTY		\$34,000

Screening Date 17-Mar-2008

**Docket No.** 2008-0433-AIR-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
PCW Revision February 29, 2008

Respondent Permian Tank & Manufacturing, Inc.

Case ID No. 35554

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102337730

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Media [Statute] Air

Enf. Coordinator J. Craig Fleming

### **Compliance History Worksheet**

Component	Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	nter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Addits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Plea	se Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment F	ercentage (S	ubtotal 2)
epeat Violator (Su			
No	Adjustment F	ercentage (S	ubtotal 3)
mpliance Histor	y Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average P	erformer Adjustment F	ercentage (S	ubtotal 7)
ompliance History	y Summary		
Compliance History Notes	No change due to average performer classification.		
	Total Adjustment Percentage		

Screening Date 17-Mar-200		AIR-E PCW
Respondent Permian Tai	k & Manufacturing, Inc.	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No. 35554		PCW Revision February 29, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN1023377  Media [Statute] Air	, <b>U</b>	
Enf. Coordinator J. Craig Fler	ning	
Violation Number 1		
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex Ada	nin. Code § 116.115(c), Tex. Health & Safety Code § 3	382 085/h) and New
ruic Gre(s) 50 /ex. Ad	Source Review Permit No. 76038, Special Conditi	
Violation Description Failed to	naintain stack observation records. Specifically, there stack observation records being maintained.	were no quarterly
		Base Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property and Humar	Health Matrix	
	Harm	
Release Major OR Actual	Moderate Minor	
Potential	Percent	0%
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification Major	Moderate Minor	05%
X	Percent _	25%
Matrix Notes	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Notes		
	Adjustment	\$7,500
	Aujustinent	<u> </u>
		\$2,500
Violation Events		
Number of Violation Events	1 Number of v	iolation days
daily	Newspapers	***************************************
monthly		
mark only one quarterly	Vio	lation Base Penalty \$2,500
with an x semiannual		
annual single event	x	
<b>9.</b>		
One single event	s recommended based on the investigation on Februa	ary 7, 2008.
		Sec. 1999 2014 (1994) (
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation	Statutory	Limit Test
Estimated EB Amoun	\$5 Violation	Final Penalty Total \$2,500
•	This violation Final Assessed Penalty	(adjusted for limits) \$2,500
	rina violation i mai Assesseu Penalty	φαιμοτού τοι πιπτο) ψ2,000

Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102337730						Years of
Media Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation ito.						5,0	1:
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$	•					
Delayed Costs							LVI LISTAN III II I
Equipment		40.000000000000000000000000000000000000		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	100	401000000000000000000000000000000000000		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	**************************************			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$100	7-Feb-2007	22-Jan-2008	1.0	\$5	n/a	\$5
Training/Sampling		100	55-60-61-000	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal			46 B. C.	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	State Office State			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Estimat	ad cost to establish	a record keening	procedu	re for recording a	nd maintaining guar	erlv stack
Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	observations	. The Date Requir	ed was the date of	the inve	stigation. The Fi	one-time avoided (  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$	of compliance.

Screening Date 17-Mar-2008 Docket No. 2008-0433-AIR-E	PCW
Respondent Permian Tank & Manufacturing, Inc.	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No. 35554	PCW Revision February 29, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102337730	and the second s
Media [Statute] Air	***************************************
Enf. Coordinator J. Craig Fleming Violation Number 2	***************************************
Violation Number 2   Rule Cite(s)   30 Tex. Admin. Code § 106:452(2)(A), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 116:115(c)	and Tay
Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)	
Failed to prevent exceedances of maximum allowed usage rates of 150 ton 15 tons per month, and 1 ton per day for abrasive blast cleaning opera Specifically, during the periods January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2 January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007, the usage rates were 225.55 tons of sand, respectively. In addition, the tons per day rate was exceeded 1 the tons per month rate was exceeded 10 times and the tons per month rate was exce	ations 006; and and 184.65 I0 times and ons per day
Bi	ase Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix	
Harm	***************************************
Release Major Moderate Minor	***************************************
OR Actual X Potential Percent 25%	
1 otomat 23 %	<u>•</u> 1
>>Programmatic Matrix	
Falsification Major Moderate Minor	
Percent 0%	,
Matrix  Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a re	ACNONINASANASANASANI
Notes violation.	
Adjustment	\$7,500
	\$2,500
Violation Events	
Number of Violation Events 8 Number of violation d	lays
daily	**************************************
monthly	nannano.
mark only one quarterly Violation Ba	ase Penalty \$20,000
with an x semiannual semiannual	university
annual single event	and the second
Single evern	Occombine
Figure 1980 Annual Company of the Co	34
Eight quarterly events are recommended for the time period from January 1, 2006 to Dec 2007.	ember 31,
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit T	est
Estimated EB Amount \$142 Violation Final Pe	enalty Total \$20,000
Name de la constant d	
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted	d for limits) \$20,000

Case ID No.	35554	& Manufacturing, In	<b>~</b> .				
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media Violation No.	Air				:	Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Fieldien ne.	_					5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
ltem Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	2000 Sec. 1000 Sec.			0.0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$1,000	1-Jan-2006	1-Nov-2008	2.8	\$142	n/a	\$142
Remediation/Disposal		200		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	36.50			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	9000800 BX 20000			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
	Estimated co	st to establish proc	edures to abate th	ne excee	dances of sand us	sed in abrasive blast	cleaning. The
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Date Requ	ired was the date the	ne exceedances s	entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	sed in abrasive blast he expected date of social social so	compliance.  So \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Date Requ	ired was the date the	ne exceedances s	entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	compliance.    \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Date Requ	ired was the date the	ne exceedances s	entering  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0  0	The Final Date is 1	one-time avoided of \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0	compliance.  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Date Requ	ired was the date the	ne exceedances s	entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	compliance.    \$0

Screening Date	A.	and the second of the second o	et <b>No.</b> 2008-0433-AIR-E	PCW
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	t Permian Tank & Manufac	turing, Inc.		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No				PCW Revision February 29, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No				
Media [Statute			•	
Enf. Coordinato Violation Numbe	The state of the s			
Rule Cite(s		116 115/b)/1) Toy U	ealth & Safety Code § 382.085(b)	and il
	Failed to prevent exceed permit limits of 10.75 ton 3.32 tpy of xylene. Speci tpy of xylene. In 2006, th	Permit By Rule No.  Iances of the permit lings per year ("tpy") of volume fically, in 2005 the emits emissions were 36.1	o. X-16285  nit. Specifically, the Plant exceed platile organic compounds ("VOCs are issions were 37.47 tpy of VOCs are 0 tpy of VOCs and 7.20 tpy of xyle	ed the ") and id 7.75
	2007, the emiss	sions were 33.06 tpy o	f VOCs and 6.08 tpy of xylene.	
			Base F	enalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property  Release OR Actual Potential	Harm e Major Moderate	Minor	Percent 50%	
>>Programmatic Matrix				
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor	Percent 0%	
			icant amounts of pollutants which onmental receptors as a result of	
			Adjustment	\$5,000
			Aujustinem	Ψ0,000
				\$5,000
Violation Events	204093 2000 2740-275			
Number of Vi	iolation Events 3	1 10	Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual x		Violation Base P	enalty \$15,000
	Three annual events are re	commended for the y	ears 2005, 2006, and 2007.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	nis violation		Statutory Limit Test	
Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$184	Violation Final Penalty	/ Total \$15,000
		This violation Final	Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$15,000
			, ,	

Item Cost   Date Required   Final Date   Yrs   Interest Saved   Onetime Costs   EB Amount	Case ID No. 3		Manufacturing, Ind	<b>3</b> .				
Item Cost   Date Required   Final Date   Yrs   Interest Saved   Onetime Costs   EB Amount	Media /	<b>Air</b>					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Section   No commas of S   Section   No commas of S	Violation (40)	,					5.0	1:
Delayed Costs   Equipment   Delayed Costs		Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Equipment Buildings	Item Description	No commas or \$						
Equipment Buildings	Delayed Costs				-			
Other (as needed)  Engineering/construction Land  Record Keeping System  Training/Sampling  Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  ANOIDED COSTS  ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)  Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]  ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)  Other (as needed)  One SO SO N/a	Equipment	980 888 884	Sec. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10					
Engineering/construction	- IS							
Land			38.39.37.90.33.00.00.00.33.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00					
Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)  One Store Alexander (as nee	16		2000 TO 100 TO 1					
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)  S1,000								
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)		HANGE STATE						
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs    Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]   DNE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)   D.0		\$1,000	1-Mar-2005	1-Nov-2008				
Notes for DELAYED costs    Estimated cost to establish procedures to abate the exceedances of VOCs and xylene. The Date Required was the date the exceedances started. The Final Date is the expected date of compliance.    Avoided Costs	· 15							
Notes for DELAYED costs    Estimated cost to establish procedures to abate the exceedances of VOCs and xylene. The Date Required was the date the exceedances started. The Final Date is the expected date of compliance.    Avoided Costs	, _,,,,,, _ , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Disposal   Personnel     Di.O   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$	11:	Estimated cos	t to establish proce	dures to abate the		tances of VOCs a	advariance The Dat	
Disposal   Personnel   Di.O. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Notes for DELAYED costs	tl	e date the exceed	ances started. Th	e Final [	Date is the expect	ed date of complian	e Required was ce.
Personnel		tt	e date the exceed	ances started. Th	e Final [	Date is the expecte	ed date of complian	ce.
Supplies/equipment   Supplie	Avoided Costs	tt	e date the exceed	ances started. Th	e Final ( ntering	Date is the expected litem (except for \$0	ed date of complian  one-time avoided i	costs) \$0
	Avoided Costs Disposal	tt	e date the exceed	ances started. Th	e Final ( ntering 0.0	Date is the expected litem (except for \$0	one-time avoided  \$0  \$0	costs) \$0 \$0
Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	tt	e date the exceed	ances started. Th	e Final ( ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided solution \$0	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Other (as needed)  Other (as needed)	Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	tt	e date the exceed	ances started. Th	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0	Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided some some some some some some some some	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Outer (as needed)	Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	tt	e date the exceed	ances started. Th	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	SO	one-time avoided   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs	Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	tt	e date the exceed	ances started. Th	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ed date of complian  cone-time avoided   \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
	Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	tt	e date the exceed	ances started. Th	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ed date of complian  cone-time avoided   \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
	Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	tt	e date the exceed	ances started. Th	ntering	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ed date of complian  cone-time avoided   \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Dat		-0433-AIR-E PCW
	Permian Tank & Manufacturing, Inc.	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No Reg. Ent. Reference No		PCW Revision February 29, 2008
Media [Statute		
Enf. Coordinate		
Violation Numbe	11 1000 (mark) 10 mm	
Rule Cite(s	30 Tex. Admin, Code § 122.145(2)(B), and Tex. Health & §	Safety Code § 382,085(b)
Violation Descriptio	Failed to submit deviation reports ("DRs") for time frames in to have occurred. Specifically, semi-annual DRs for sand, V were not submitted for the time frames of April 27, 2006 to C 27, 2007 to October 26, 2007.	OCs, and xylene deviations
		Base Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health Matrix	
Releas	<b>Harm</b> Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actua		
Potentia	Perce	ent 0%
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	Perce	ent 25%
Matrix Notes	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
	Adjusti	nent \$7,500
		\$2,500
		Ψ2,300
Violation Events	3	
Number of V	plation Events 2 364 Numb	per of violation days
Training of the		
	daily	
mark only one	monthly quarterly	Violation Base Penalty \$5,000
· with an x	semiannual	• -
	annual	
	single event x	
Tv.	o single events (one for each deviation report not submitted) a	re recommended.
		00000000000000000000000000000000000000
Economic Benefit (EB) for t	is violation Stat	utory Limit Test
Estimate	d EB Amount \$19 Vi	olation Final Penalty Total \$5,000
	Parameter and a second	
	This violation Final Assessed Po	snaity (aujusteu ioi inints) \$5,000

Reg. Ent. Reference No.	35554 BN102337730						
Media Violation No.	Air					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation (Vo.	-					5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs				_			236.00
Equipment	Comment of the commen			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	VICTOR 100 (10)	35 May 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	Market Committee Committee	130 March 1997		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction		8 X 14 K 15 L 10 K 15 K	Alton Williams 1700 Fish	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$4.525 p. 100.0038	3.20		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	C-2023 - 1286 - 24.03	25.00		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0 \$19	n/a n/a	\$0 \$19
Other (as needed)	\$200	26-Nov-2006	1-Nov-2008	1.9	1 019	l IVG	Ψισ
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated cos	t to submit the dev	iation reports (\$10	0 each).	The Date Require	ed was the date of the	ne first deviation
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Die Lauren der	report time	period. The Final	Date is t	item (except for \$0 \$0	of compliance.  one-time avoided (  \$0  \$0	costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	Die Lauren der	report time	period. The Final	Date is t	ne expected date  item (except for \$0	of compliance.  one-time avoided of	costs) \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Die Lauren der	report time	period. The Final	Date is to entering   0.0   0.0   0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	of compliance.  one-time avoided (  \$0  \$0  \$0	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  Inspection/Reporting/Sampling  Supplies/equipment  Financial Assurance [2]	Die Lauren der	report time	period. The Final	entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	of compliance.  one-time avoided ( \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	30 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Die Lauren der	report time	period. The Final	entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	of compliance.  one-time avoided ( \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Die Lauren der	report time	period. The Final	Date is the entering	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	of compliance.  one-time avoided (  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	costs)

## **Compliance History**

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN600125678 Permian Tank & Manufacturing, Inc. Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 7.17 RN102337730 PERMIAN TANK & MANUFACTURING Regulated Entity: Classification: HIGH Site Rating: 0.00 ID Number(s): AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS **PERMIT** 16285 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 25320 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER EB0096O AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 76038 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS AFS NUM 4813500071 **STORMWATER PERMIT** TXR05M923 PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION 54270 REGISTRATION AIR OPERATING PERMITS PERMIT 2827 AIR OPERATING PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER EB0096O 2701 W IH 20, ODESSA, TX, 79766 Rating Date: 9/1/2007 Repeat Violator: NO Location: **REGION 07 - MIDLAND** TCEQ Region: March 11, 2008 Date Compliance History Prepared: Agency Decision Requiring Compliance Enforcement March 11, 2003 to March 11, 2008 Compliance Period: TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History J. Craig Fleming (512) 239-5806 Name: Phone: Site Compliance History Components 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. В. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. Chronic excessive emissions events. C. D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) 1 12/11/2006 (533732)2 03/04/2008 (637731)3 03/07/2008 (636243)E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) F. Environmental audits. N/A Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G.

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

Η.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

IN THE MATTER OF AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION CONCERNING PERMIAN TANK & MANUFACTURING, INC. RN102337730

BEFORE THE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

#### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-0433-AIR-E

\$\text{\$\exititt{\$\text{\$\exititt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\}\exittit{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\tex

## I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its \_\_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Permian Tank & Manufacturing, Inc. ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a operates a storage tank manufacturing plant which includes welding, abrasive sand-blasting, and spray coating at 2701 West Interstate Highway 20 in Odessa, Ector County, Texas (the "Plant").
- 2. The Plant consists of one or more sources as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.003(12).
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about March 12, 2008.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Forty-Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$42,500) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II

("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Thirty-Four Thousand Dollars (\$34,000) of the administrative penalty and Eight Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$8,500) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent started observing and recording the quarterly stack observations on January 22, 2008.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Plant, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to maintain stack observation records, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 116.115(c), Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), and New Source Review Permit No. 76038, Special Condition 2, as documented during an investigation conducted on February 7, 2008. Specifically, there were no quarterly stack observation records being maintained.
- 2. Failed to prevent exceedances of maximum allowed usage rates of 150 tons per year, 15 tons per month, and one ton per day for abrasive blast cleaning operations, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 106.452(2)(A), 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 116.115(c), and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b). Specifically, during the periods January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006, and January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007, the usage rates were 225.55 and 184.65 tons of sand, respectively. In addition, the tons per day rate was exceeded 10 times and the tons per month rate was exceeded 11 times during 2006. In 2007, the tons per day rate was exceeded 10 times and the tons per month rate was exceeded 12 times.

- 3. Failed to prevent exceedances of the permit limit, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 116.115(b)(1), Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), and Permit By Rule No. X-16285, as documented during an investigation conducted on February 7, 2008. Specifically, in 2005 the emissions were 37.47 tons per year ("tpy") of volatile organic compounds ("VOCs") and 7.75 tpy of xylene. In 2006, the emissions were 36.10 tpy of VOCs and 7.20 tpy of xylene. In 2007, the emissions were 33.06 tpy of VOCs and 6.08 tpy of xylene.
- 4. Failed to submit deviation reports ("DRs") for time frames in which deviations are known to have occurred, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 122.145(2)(B), and Tex. Health & Safety CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 7, 2008. Specifically, semi-annual DRs for sand, VOCs, and xylene deviations were not submitted for the time frames of April 27, 2006 to October 26, 2006, and April 27, 2007 to October 26, 2007.

#### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Permian Tank & Manufacturing, Inc., Docket No. 2008-0433-AIR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
    - i. Implement improved operating measures to prevent exceedances of the maximum allowable rate for the amount of sand used in the abrasive blast cleaning;
    - ii. Implement measures to prevent the exceedance of the permit limits for VOCs and xylene; and
    - iii. Submit the required semi-annual DRs for sand, VOCs, and xylene for the time frames of April 27, 2006 to October 26, 2006, and April 27, 2007 to October 26, 2007.

b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Air Section, Manager Midland Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 3300 North A Street, Building 4, Suite 107 Midland, Texas 79705-5404

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

For the Commission

#### SIGNATURE PAGE

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to

9/4/2008

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

Permian Tank & Manufacturing, Inc.

Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

<ul> <li>A negative impact on compliance history</li> </ul>	7.
<ul> <li>Greater scrutiny of any permit application</li> </ul>	ns submitted;
	eneral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional
penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a col	llection agency;
<ul> <li>Increased penalties in any future enforcer</li> </ul>	ment actions;
<ul> <li>Automatic referral to the Attorney Gener</li> </ul>	al's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
<ul> <li>TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized.</li> </ul>	
In addition, any falsification of any compliance d	locuments may result in criminal prosecution.
X James M. Lodlack Signature	6-5-08 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of	Title / Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues